arXiv:math/0601072v5 [math.AG] 27 May 2006

SUPERELLIPTIC JACOBIANS

YURI G. ZARHIN

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper K is a field of characteristic zero, $\bar{K}$ its algebraic closure and $\mathrm{Gal}(K) = \mathrm{Aut}(\bar{K}/K)$ the absolute Galois group of K.

We write k for a field of characteristic zero and $k(t)$ for the field of rational functions over k in independent variable t.

If X is an abelian variety over $\bar{K}$ then we write $\mathrm{End}(X)$ for the ring of all its $\bar{K}$-endomorphisms and $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ for the corresponding $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}(X) \otimes \mathbf{Q}$; the notation 1_X stands for the identity automorphism of X. If m is a positive integer then we write X_m for the kernel of multiplication by m in $X(\bar{K})$. It is well-known [12] that X_m is a free $\mathbf{Z}/m\mathbf{Z}$-module of rank $2\mathrm{dim}(X)$. If X is defined over K then X_m is a Galois submodule in $X(\bar{K})$.

Definition 1.1. Suppose that K contains a field k and X is an abelian variety defined over K. We say that X is isotrivial over k if there exists an abelian variety X_0 over $\bar{k}$ such that X and X_0 are isomorphic over $\bar{K}$.

We say that X is *completely non-isotrivial* over k if for every abelian variety W over $\bar{k}$ there are no non-zero homomorphisms between X and W over $\bar{K}$.

Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree $n \ge 3$ with coefficients in K and without multiple roots, $\mathfrak{R}_f \subset \bar{K}$ the (n-element) set of roots of f and $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \bar{K}$ the splitting field of f. We write $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathrm{Gal}(f/K)$ for the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ of f; it permutes roots of f and may be viewed as a certain permutation group of $\mathfrak{R}_f$, i.e., as as a subgroup of the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f) \cong \mathbf{S}_n$ of permutation of $\mathfrak{R}_f$. ($\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is transitive if and only if f is irreducible.)

Suppose that p is a prime that does not divide n and a positive integer $q = p^r$ is a power of p then we write $C_{f,q}$ for the superelliptic K-curve $y^q = f(x)$ and $J(C_{f,q})$ for its jacobian. Clearly, $J(C_{f,q})$ is an abelian variety that is defined over K and

$$\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,q})) = \frac{(n-1)(q-1)}{2}.$$

In a series of papers [29, 30, 35], [31, 32, 33, 37], the author discussed the structure of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q}))$, assuming that $n \ge 5$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is, at least, doubly transitive. In particular, he proved that if $n \ge 5$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ coincides either with full symmetric group $\mathbf{S}_n$ or with alternating group $\mathbf{A}_n$ then $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q}))$ is (canonically) isomorphic to a product $\prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^i})$ of cyclotomic fields. (If $q = p$ then we proved that $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q})) = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$.)

In this paper we discuss the remaining cases when $n = 3$ or 4 and $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is a doubly transitive subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_n$, i.e., the cases when

$$n = 3,\ \mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_3,\ \mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f,q})) = q - 1$$

and

$$n = 4,\ \mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_4 \text{ or } \mathbf{A}_4,\ \dim(J(C_{f,q})) = \frac{3(q-1)}{2}.$$

In those cases if $q = p$ then we have

$$1_{J(C_{f,p})} \in \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})).$$

If $n = 3$ this means that the $(p-1)$-dimensional abelian variety $J(C_{f,p})$ admits multiplication by the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ of degree $p-1$. One may prove (see Sect. 3) that either $J(C_{f,p})$ is an abelian variety of CM-type or $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ (and even $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$).

If $n = 4$ this means that the $3(p-1)/2$-dimensional abelian variety $J(C_{f,p})$ admits multiplication by the field $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ of degree $p-1$. One may prove (see Sect. 3) that either $J(C_{f,p})$ contains an abelian subvariety of CM-type (of positive dimension) or $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ (and even $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$).

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and $K = k(t)$. Assume that $(n, \mathrm{Gal}(f))$ enjoy one of the following two properties:*

- *$n = 3$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_3$.*
- *$n = 4, \mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_4$ or $\mathbf{A}_4$.*

Suppose that p is an odd prime that does not divide n. Then $J(C_{f,p})$ is completely non-isotrivial and $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p})) = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p]$.

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and $K = k(t)$. Assume that $(n, \mathrm{Gal}(f))$ enjoy one of the following two properties:*

- *$n = 3$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_3$.*
- *$n = 4, \mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_4$ or $\mathbf{A}_4$.*

Suppose that p is a prime that does not divide n. Let r be a positive integer and $q = p^r$. If p is odd then $J(C_{f,q})$ is completely non-isotrivial and $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q}))$ is (canonically) isomorphic to a product $\prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^i})$ of cyclotomic fields.

Theorem 1.4. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed and $K = k(t)$. Assume that $n = 3$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f) = \mathbf{S}_3$.*

Then:

- *$\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,4})) = \mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$. In addition $J(C_{f,4})$ is isogenous to a product of the elliptic curve $C_{f,2} : y^2 = f(x)$ and the square of the elliptic curve $y^3 = x^3 - x$.*
- *Let $r > 2$ be an integer and $q = 2^r$. Then $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q}))$ is (canonically) isomorphic to a product $\mathbf{Q} \times \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})) \times \prod_{i=3}^{r} \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^i})$. In addition, $J(C_{f,q})$ is isogenous to a product of a completely non-isotrivial abelian variety, the elliptic curve $C_{f,2} : y^2 = f(x)$ and the square of the elliptic curve $y^3 = x^3 - x$.*

In the case of arbitrary $n \geq 3$ and doubly transitive $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ we have the following non-isotriviality assertion.

Theorem 1.5. *Suppose that k is algebraically closed, $K = k(t)$, a prime p does not divide n and $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_f$. Let r be a positive integer and $q = p^r$. Let us assume additionally that $(n, p) \neq (3, 2)$.*

Then $J(C_{f,q})$ is completely non-isotrivial.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we discuss elliptic curves, using elementary means. Section 3 contains auxiliary results concerning abelian varietis with big endomorphism fields. Section 4 deals with complex abelian varieties. In Section 5 we discuss in detail superelliptic curves and their jacobians. It also contains the proof of main results.

I am grateful to J.-L. Colliot-Thélène and to the referee for helpful comments. My special thanks go to Dr. Boris Veytsman for his help with TEXnical problems.

2. Elliptic curves

We start with the case of $n = 3$ and an elliptic curve $C_{f,2} : y^2 = f(x)$ where $f(x)$ is a cubic polynomial without multiple roots. Then $J(C_{f,2}) = C_{f,2}$ is a one-dimensional abelian variety. If $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\} \subset \bar{K}$ is the set of roots of $f(x)$ then the group of points of order 2 on $C_{f,2}$ is $\{\infty, (\alpha_1, 0), (\alpha_2, 0), (\alpha_3, 0)\}$. Here $\infty \in C_{f,2}(K)$ is the zero of group law on $C_{f,2}$.

Recall that the only doubly transitive subgroup of $\mathbf{S}_3$ is $\mathbf{S}_3$ itself.

Examples 2.1. Let us put $K = \mathbf{Q}$.

1. The polynomial $f(x) = x^3 - x - 1$ has Galois group $\mathbf{S}_3$. The corresponding elliptic curve $C_{f,2} : y^2 = x^3 - x - 1$ has non-integral j-invariant $1728 \cdot \frac{-4}{23}$ and therefore $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,2})) = \mathbf{Z}$.
2. The polynomial $x^3 - 2$ has Galois group $\mathbf{S}_3$. The elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - 2$ admits an automorphism of multiplicative order 3 and $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,2})) = \mathbf{Z}[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-3}}{2}]$.

Example 2.2. (See [6, Sect. 4].) Let $p > 3$ be a prime that is congruent to 3 modulo 8,

$$\omega := \frac{-1 + \sqrt{-p}}{2}, \ \alpha := j(\omega) \in \mathbf{C}, \ K := \mathbf{Q}(j(\omega)) \subset \mathbf{C}.$$

Let us consider the cubic polynomial

$$h_p(x) := x^3 - \frac{27\alpha}{4(\alpha - 1728)}x - \frac{27\alpha}{4(\alpha - 1728)} \in K[x].$$

without multiple roots. Then the j-invariant of the elliptic curve $C_{h_p,2} : y^2 = h_p(x)$ coincides with α and therefore the endomorphism ring of $J(C_{h_p,2})$ coincides with $\mathbf{Z}[\frac{-1+\sqrt{-p}}{2}]$. However, the Galois group of $h_p(x)$ over K is $\mathbf{S}_3$ [6, Sect. 4, Lemma 4.6].

Example 2.3. Suppose that $K = \mathbf{C}(t)$. The polynomial $x^3 - x - t$ has Galois group $\mathbf{S}_3$. The elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - x - t$ has transcendental j-invariant $\frac{-4 \cdot 1728}{27t^2 - 4}$ and therefore its endomorphism ring is $\mathbf{Z}$.

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and $K \supset k$. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a cubic polynomial with Galois group $\mathbf{S}_3$. Then the j-invariant of the elliptic curve $C_{f,2}$ does not lie in k, i.e., $C_{f,2}$ is not isotrivial.*

Proof. Indeed, suppose that there exists an elliptic curve E over k such that E and $C_{f,2}$ are isomorphic over $\bar{K}$, i.e., $C_{f,2}$ is a twist of E. Clearly, all $\bar{K}$-automorphisms of E are defined over k and $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$ is a cyclic group of order $2, 4$ or 6. It follows that the first Galois cohomology group $H^1(K, \mathrm{Aut}(E))$ coincides with the group of continuous homomorphisms of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$ to $\mathrm{Aut}(E)$. It follows that there is a cyclic extension L/K and an embedding $c : \mathrm{Gal}(L/K) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(E)$ such that $C_{f,2}$ is the L/K-twist of E attached to c. Notice that all torsion points of E are defined over k and therefore lie in $E(K)$. This implies that the Galois action on the points of order 2 of $C_{f,2}$ factors through $\mathrm{Gal}(L/K)$; in particular, the corresponding Galois image is commutative. Since $\mathbf{S}_3$ is non-commutative, we get a desired contradiction. □

3. ABELIAN VARIETIES

Let X be an abelian variety of positive dimension over an algebraically closed field $\bar{K}$ of characteristic zero, let E be a number field and let $i : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X)$ be an embedding such that $i(1) = 1_X$. It is well-known that $[E : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ [12, 26]; if $2\mathrm{dim}(X) = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$ then X is an abelian variety of CM-type.

We write $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)$ for the centralizer of $i(E)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$; it is known [36] that $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)$ is a finite-dimensional semisimple E-algebra.

Theorem 3.1. *If* $\dim(X) = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$ *then either* X *is an abelian variety of CM-type or* $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i) = i(E) \cong E$.

If $2\mathrm{dim}(X) = 3[E : \mathbf{Q}]$ *then either* X *contains a non-zero abelian subvariety of CM-type or* $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i) = i(E) \cong E$.

Proof. Recall that if Z is an abelian variety of positive dimension d then every *semisimple commutative* $\mathbf{Q}$-subalgebra of $\mathrm{End}^0(Z)$ has $\mathbf{Q}$-dimension $\le 2d$. The algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(Z)$ contains a $2d$-dimensional semisimple commutative $\mathbf{Q}$-subalgebra if and only if Z is an abelian variety of CM-type.

By theorem 3.1 of [37],

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) \le \left(\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(X)}{[E : \mathbf{Q}]}\right)^2;$$

if the equality holds then X is an abelian variety of CM-type. If $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) = 1$ then $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i) = i(E) \cong E$.

So, in the course of the proof we may assume that either $\dim(X) = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$ and $1 < \dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) < 4$ or $2\mathrm{dim}(X) = 3[E : \mathbf{Q}]$ and $1 < \dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) < 9$.

Now assume that $\dim(X) = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$. Then $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i))$=2 or 3. Since every semisimple algebra of dimension 2 or 3 over a field is commutative, $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)$ is commutative and its $\mathbf{Q}$-dimension is either $2[E : \mathbf{Q}] = 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ or

$$3[E : \mathbf{Q}] = 3\mathrm{dim}(X) > 2\mathrm{dim}(X).$$

It follows that $\dim_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathrm{End}^0(X, i) = 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ and therefore X is an abelian variety of CM-type. This proves the first assertion of the Theorem.

Now assume that $2\mathrm{dim}(X) = 3[E : \mathbf{Q}]$ and $1 < \dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) < 9$. So,

$$2 \le \dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) \le 8.$$

If $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) = 3$ then $\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)$ is commutative and its $\mathbf{Q}$-dimension is $3[E : \mathbf{Q}] = 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ and therefore X is an abelian variety of CM-type.

So, further we assume that $\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X, i)) \ne 3$. Clearly, there exists a positive integer a such that

$$\dim(X) = 3a,\ 2\mathrm{dim}(X) = 6a,\ [E : \mathbf{Q}] = 2a.$$

First, suppose that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is not simple. Then X is isogenous to a product $\prod_{s\in S} X_s$ of abelian varieties X_s provided with embeddings

$$i_s : E \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(X_s),\ i_s(1) = 1_{X_s};$$

in addition, the E-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X_s)$ is simple for all s and the E-algebras $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ and $\prod_{s\in S}\mathrm{End}^0(X_s)$ are isomorphic [36, Remark 1.4]. It follows that

$$6a = 2\mathrm{dim}(X) = 2\sum_{s\in S}\mathrm{dim}(X_s)$$

and $2a = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$ divides $2\mathrm{dim}(X_s)$ for all s. We conclude that $\mathrm{dim}(X_s) = a$ or $2a$. It follows that S is a either two-element set $\{s_1, s_2\}$ with

$$\mathrm{dim}(X_{s_1}) = a,\ \mathrm{dim}(X_{s_2}) = 2a$$

or a three-element set $\{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ with

$$\mathrm{dim}(X_{s_1}) = \mathrm{dim}(X_{s_2}) = \mathrm{dim}(X_{s_3}) = a.$$

In both cases $2\mathrm{dim}(X_{s_1}) = 2a = [E : \mathbf{Q}]$ and therefore X_{s_1} is an abelian variety of CM-type.

So, further we may assume that $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is a *simple* E-algebra.

If $\mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)) = 2$ then $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is commutative and therefore is a number field of degree $4a$ over $\mathbf{Q}$. Since $4a$ does not divide $6a = 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$, we get a contradiction.

If $\mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)) > 3$ then $\mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)) > 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$ and therefore $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is noncommutative. Since $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is simple, $\mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i))$ is *not* square-free. It follows that $\mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i))$ is either 4 or 8 and therefore

$$\mathrm{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}}(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)) = [E : \mathbf{Q}] \cdot \mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i))$$

is either $8a$ or $16a$ respectively. Since none of them divides $6a = 2\mathrm{dim}(X)$, the simple E-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ is not a division algebra. (Here we use that $\bar{K}$ has characteristic zero.) It follows that there exist an integer $r > 1$, a division algebra D over E and an isomorphism $\mathrm{Mat}_r(D) \cong \mathrm{End}^0(X,i)$ of E-algebras. It follows that

$$r^2\mathrm{dim}_E(D) = \mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{Mat}_r(D)) = \mathrm{dim}_E(\mathrm{End}^0(X,i))$$

is either 4 or 8. Clearly, there exist an abelian variety Y of dimension $\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{dim}(X) = \frac{3}{2}a$, an isogeny $Y^2 \to X$ and an embedding

$$\iota : D \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(Y),\ \iota(1) = 1_Y.$$

It follows that $1_Y \in \iota(E) \subset \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$ and the degree $2a$ of the number field $\iota(E) \cong E$ must divide $2\mathrm{dim}(Y) = 3a$. In other words, $2a$ divides $3a$. Contradiction. $\square$

Remark 3.2. The case when E is a (product of) totally real field(s) with $\mathrm{dim}_{\mathbf{Q}}(E) = \mathrm{dim}(X)$ was earlier discussed in [19, pp. 557–558].

Remark 3.3. Suppose that X and Y are absolutely simple abelian varieties over $\bar{K}$ such that the $\mathbf{Q}$-algebras $\mathrm{End}^0(X)$ and $\mathrm{End}^0(Y)$ are *not* isomorphic. Then X and Y are not isogenous and the absolute simplicity implies that there are no non-zero homomorphisms between X and Y. It follows that $\mathrm{End}^0(X \times Y) = \mathrm{End}^0(X) \times \mathrm{End}^0(Y)$.

Lemma 3.4. *Suppose that k is a field of characteristic zero and $K = k(t)$. Let X be an abelian variety over K, let $B \subset \mathbf{A}^1_k$ be a finite set of closed points on the affine k-line and let $f : \mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B$ be an abelian scheme, whose generic fiber coincides with X. For each $a \in k \setminus B \subset k = \mathbf{A}^1_k(k)$ we write X_a for the corresponding fiber that is an abelian variety over k.*

Suppose that there exists a non-zero abelian variety W over $\bar{k}$ such that there exists a non-zero homomorphism from W to X that is defined over $\bar{K}$. Then for any pair of distinct points $a_1, a_2 \in k \setminus B$ there exists a non-zero homomorphism between abelian varieties X_{a_1} and X_{a_2} that is defined over $\bar{k}$.

Proof. Recall that one may view closed points of $\mathbf{A}^1_k$ as Galois orbits in $\bar{k}$. Replacing k by $\bar{k}$, K by $\bar{k}(t)$, X by $X \times_{k(t)} \bar{k}(t)$, B by the union $\bar{B}$ of all Galois orbits from B and $\mathcal{X} \to \mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B$ by the fiber product $X \times_{\mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B} \mathbf{A}^1_{\bar{k}} \setminus \bar{B} \to \mathbf{A}^1_{\bar{k}} \setminus \bar{B}$, we may and will assume that k is algebraically closed, i.e., $k = \bar{k}$. We may assume that W is simple and a non-zero homomorphism $W \to X$ is an embedding that is defined over $\bar{K}$.

Notice that both W and X are defined over K. In addition, all torsion points of "constant" W are defined over K (in fact, they are defined even over k). Let $L = K(X_3)$ be the field of definition of all points of order 3 on X; clearly, L is a finite Galois extension of $K = k(t)$. It follows from results of [27] that all homomorphisms between W and X are defined over L. In particular, there is a closed embedding $i : W \hookrightarrow X$ that is defined over L.

It follows from Néron-Ogg-Shafarevich criterion [25] that $L/k(t)$ is unramified outside ∞ and B.

This means that if U is the normalization of $\mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B$ in L then the natural map $\pi : U \to \mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B$ is étale; clearly, it is a surjective map between the sets of k-points. The field $k(U)$ of rational functions on U coincides with L.

Let $\mathcal{X}_U \to U$ be the pullback of $\mathcal{X}$ with respect to π; it is an abelian scheme over U, whose generic fiber coincides with $X \times_K L$. If $u \in U(k)$ and

$$a = \pi(u) \in (\mathbf{A}^1_k \setminus B)(k) = k \setminus B$$

then the corresponding closed fiber X_u of X_U coincides with X_s.

Recall that X contains an abelian L-subvariety isomorphic to W. Let $\mathcal{W}$ be the schematic closure of W in $\mathcal{X}_U$ [3, p. 55]. It follows from [3, Sect. 7.1, p. 175, Cor. 6] that $\mathcal{W}$ is a Néron model of W over U. On the other hand, since W is "constant", its Néron model over U is isomorphic to $W \times U$. This implies that the group U-schemes $\mathcal{W}$ and $W \times U$ are isomorphic. Since $W \times U$ is a closed U-subscheme of X_U, the corresponding closed fiber X_u of X_U contains an abelian subvariety isomorphic to W for all k-point $u \in U(k)$. It follows that X_s contains an abelian subvariety isomorphic to W for all $a \in k \setminus B$.

Now let a_1, a_2 be two distinct elements of $k \setminus B$. It follows from Poincaré's complete reducibility theorem [12, Sect. 18, Th. 1 on p. 173] that there exists a surjective homomorphism $X_{a_1} \twoheadrightarrow W$. Now the composition

$$X_{a_1} \twoheadrightarrow W \hookrightarrow X_{a_2}$$

is a non-zero homomorphism between X_{a_1} and X_{a_2}. □

4. Complex abelian varieties

Let Z be a complex abelian variety of positive dimension and $\mathfrak{C}_Z$ the center of the semisimple finite-dimensional $\mathbf{Q}$-algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(Z)$. We write $\Omega^1(Z)$ for the space of differentials of the first kind on Z; it is a $\dim(Z)$-dimensional $\mathbf{C}$-vector space.

Let E be a subfield of $\mathrm{End}^0(Z)$ that contains 1_Z. The degree $[E:\mathbf{Q}]$ divides $2\dim(Z)$. We write

$$\iota : E \subset \mathrm{End}^0(Z)$$

for the inclusion map and Σ_E for the set of all field embeddings $\sigma : E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. The centralizer $\mathrm{End}^0(Z,\iota)$ is a semisimple E-algebra.

It is well-known that

$$\mathbf{C}_\sigma := E \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C} = \mathbf{C}, \quad E_{\mathbf{C}} = E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C} = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E} E \otimes_{E,\sigma} \mathbf{C} = \prod_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E} \mathbf{C}_\sigma.$$

By functoriality, $\mathrm{End}^0(Z)$ and therefore E act on $\Omega^1(Z)$ and therefore provide $\Omega^1(Z)$ with a natural structure of $E\otimes_{\mathbf{Q}}\mathbf{C}$-module [37, p. 341]. Clearly,

$$\Omega^1(Z) = \bigoplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E} \mathbf{C}_\sigma \Omega^1(Z) = \oplus_{\sigma\in\Sigma_E}\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma$$

where $\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma := \mathbf{C}_\sigma\Omega^1(Z) = \{x \in \Omega^1(Z) \mid ex = \sigma(e)x \quad \forall e \in E\}$. Let us put $n_\sigma = n_\sigma(Z,E) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}_\sigma}\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma$. Let us put

$$n_\sigma := \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma) = \dim_{\mathbf{C}}(\Omega^1(Z)_\sigma) \qquad (1).$$

Theorem 4.1. *If $E/\mathbf{Q}$ is Galois, $E \supset \mathfrak{C}_Z$ and $\mathfrak{C}_Z \ne E$ then there exists a nontrivial automorphism $\kappa : E \to E$ such that $n_\sigma = n_{\sigma\kappa}$ for all $\sigma \in \Sigma_E$.*

Proof. See [32, Th. 2.3]. □

Theorem 4.2. *Suppose that there exist a prime p, a positive integer r, the prime power $q = p^r$ and an integer $n \ge 3$ enjoying the following properties:*

- (i) *$E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \subset \mathbf{C}$ where $\zeta_q \in \mathbf{C}$ is a primitive qth root of unity;*
- (ii) *n is not divisible by p, i.e. n and q are relatively prime:*
- (iii) *Let $i < q$ be a positive integer that is not divisible by p and $\sigma_i : E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the embedding that sends ζ_q to ζ_q^{-i}. Then $n_{\sigma_i} = \left[\frac{ni}{q}\right]$.*

Then

- (a) *If $E \supset \mathfrak{C}_Z$ then $\mathfrak{C}_Z = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$.*
- (b) *If*

$$\dim_E(\mathrm{End}^0(Z,\iota)) = \left(\frac{2\dim(Z)}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}\right)^2$$

then $n = 3, p = 2, q = 4$.

Proof. If $n > 3$ then (a) is proven in [37, Cor. 2.2 on p. 342]. So, in order to prove (a), let us assume that $n = 3$ and $\mathfrak{C}_Z \ne \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$.

Clearly, $\{\sigma_i\}$ is the collection Σ of all embeddings $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$. By (iii), $n_{\sigma_i} = 0$ if and only if $1 \le i \le [\frac{q}{3}]$. Suppose that $\mathfrak{C}_Z \ne \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$. It follows from Theorem 4.1 that there exists a non-trivial field automorphism $\kappa : \mathbf{Q}[\zeta_q] \to \mathbf{Q}[\zeta_q]$ such that for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$ we have $n_\sigma = n_{\sigma\kappa}$. Clearly, there exists an integer m such that p does *not* divide m, $1 < m < q$ and $\kappa(\zeta_q) = \zeta_q^m$.

If i is an integer then we write $\bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z}$ for its residue modulo q. Clearly, $n_\sigma = 0$ if and only if $\sigma = \sigma_i$ with $1 \le i \le [\frac{q}{3}]$. Since 3 and q are relatively prime,

$[\frac{q}{3}] = [\frac{q-1}{3}]$. It follows that $n_{\sigma_i} = 0$ if and only if $1 \le i \le [\frac{q-1}{3}]$. Clearly, the map $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\kappa$ permutes the set

$$\{\sigma_i \mid 1 \le i \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right], p \text{ does not divide } i\}.$$

Since $\kappa(\zeta_q) = \zeta_q^m$ and $\sigma_i\kappa(\zeta_q) = \zeta_q^{-im}$, it follows that if

$$A := \left\{i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid 1 \le i \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < q,\ p \text{ does not divide } i\right\}$$

then the multiplication by m in $(\mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z})^* = \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)/\mathbf{Q})$ leaves invariant the set $\bar{A} := \{\bar{i} \in \mathbf{Z}/q\mathbf{Z} \mid i \in A\}$. Clearly, A contains 1 and therefore $\bar{m} = m \cdot \bar{1} \in \bar{A}$. Since $1 < m < q$,

$$m = m \cdot 1 \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] \qquad (2).$$

Since $m \ge 2$, we conclude that

$$q \ge 7.$$

Let us consider the arithmetic progression consisting of $2m$ integers

$$[\frac{q-1}{3}] + 1, \dots, [\frac{q-1}{3}] + 2m$$

with difference 1. All its elements lie between $[\frac{q-1}{3}] + 1$ and

$$\left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] + 2m \le 3\left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] \le 3\frac{q-1}{3} \le q-1.$$

Clearly, there exist exactly two elements of A say, mc_1 and mc_1+m that are divisible by m. Clearly, c_1 is a positive integer and either c_1 or c_1+1 is not divisible by p; we put $c = c_1$ in the former case and $c = c_1 + 1$ in the latter case. However, c is not divisible by p and

$$\left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < mc \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] + 2m \le q-1 \qquad (3).$$

It follows that mc does not lie in A and therefore $\overline{mc}$ does not lie in $\bar{A}$. This implies that $\bar{c}$ also does not lie in $\bar{A}$ and therefore $c > [\frac{q-1}{3}]$. Using (3), we conclude that

$$(m-1)\left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < 2m$$

and therefore

$$\left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < \frac{2m}{m-1} = 2 + \frac{2}{m-1}.$$

If $m > 2$ then $m \ge 3$ and using (2), we conclude that

$$3 \le m \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < 2 + \frac{2}{m-1} < 3$$

and therefore $3 < 3$, which is not true. Hence $m = 2$. Again using (2), we conclude that

$$2 = m \le \left[\frac{q-1}{3}\right] < 2 + \frac{2}{m-1} = 4$$

and therefore $[\frac{q-1}{3}] = 2$ or 3. It follows that $q = 7$ or 11. (Since $m = 2$ is prime to q, we have $q \ne 8$.) We conclude that either $\bar{A} = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}$ or $A = \{\bar{1}, \bar{2}, \bar{3}\}$. In both cases $\bar{4} = 2 \cdot \bar{2} = m \cdot \bar{2}$ must lie in $\bar{A}$. Contradiction. This proves (a).

Proof of (b). It follows from Theorem 4.2 of [36] that there exist a complex abelian variety W with $2\dim(W) = \varphi(q) = (p-1)p^{r-1}$, an embedding

$$\epsilon : E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(W), \epsilon(1) = 1_W,$$

and an isogeny $\psi : W^{n-1} \to Z$ that commutes with the action(s) of E. Clearly ψ induces an isomorphism of $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$-modules

$$\psi^* : \Omega^1(Z) \cong \Omega^1(W^{n-1}) = \Omega^1(W)^{n-1}$$

where $\Omega^1(W)^{n-1}$ is the direct sum of $n-1$ copies of the $E \otimes_{\mathbf{Q}} \mathbf{C}$-module $\Omega^1(W)$. This implies that if any $\gamma \in E$ is viewed as a $\mathbf{C}$-linear operator in $\Omega^1(Z)$ then it has, at most, $\dim(W)$ distinct eigenvalues and the multiplicity of each eigenvalue is divisible by $n-1$. Applying this observation to $\gamma = \zeta$, we conclude that the set

$$B := \left\{ i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid 1 \le i < q, (i,p) = 1, \left[\frac{ni}{q}\right] > 0 \right\} = \left\{ i \in \mathbf{Z} \mid \frac{q}{n} < i < q = p^r, (i,p) = 1 \right\}$$

has, at most $\dim(W)$ elements. Clearly, $\dim(W) = (p-1)p^{r-1}/2$ is an integer; in particular, $q = p^r \ne 2$.

If $p/n < (p-1)/2$ then

$$\#(B) > \varphi(q) - \frac{q}{n} = (p-1)p^{r-1} - \frac{p^{r-1}p}{n} = \left(p - 1 - \frac{p}{n}\right) p^{r-1} > \frac{p-1}{2} p^{r-1} = \dim(W)$$

and therefore $\#(B) > \dim(W)$, which is not the case. Hence

$$\frac{p}{n} > \frac{p-1}{2}.$$

This implies easily that $n = 3$ and therefore $p \ne 3$. It follows that $p/3 > (p-1)/2$, i.e. $1/2 > p/6$ and therefore $3 \ge p$. This implies that $p = 2$. Now if $r \ge 3$ then $q = 2^r \ge 8$ and $2^r/6 > 1$. Then B contains all 2^{r-2} odd integers that lie between 2^{r-1} and 2^r. In addition,

$$2^{r-1} - \frac{2^r}{3} = \frac{2^r}{6} > 1$$

and therefore an odd number $2^{r-1} - 1$ is greater than $2^r/3$, i.e., $2^{r-1} - 1$ lies in B. This implies that $\#(B) > 2^{r-2} = \varphi(q)/2 = \dim(W)$, which is not the case. $\square$

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose that*

$$p = 2, q = 4, E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_4) = \mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}), n = 3.$$

Assume that if i is an odd positive integer that is strictly less than 4 and $\sigma_i : E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_4) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ the embedding that sends ζ_q to ζ_q^{-i} then $n_{\sigma_i} = \left[\frac{3i}{q}\right]$.

Then:

- (i) *Z is isomorphic to a product of two mutually isogenous elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$.*
- (ii) *Z is isogenous to the square of the elliptic curve $y^2 = x^3 - x$.*
- (iii) *$\mathrm{End}^0(Z) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$.*

Proof. Clearly,

$$n_{\sigma_1} = 0, n_{\sigma_3} = 2, \dim(Z) = \dim(\Omega^1(Z)) = n_{\sigma_1} + n_{\sigma_3} = 2.$$

This means that E acts on the complex vector space $\Omega^1(Z))$ as multiplications by scalars via

$$\sigma_3 : E \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}.$$

Let us put $\emptyset := E\bigcap \mathrm{End}(Z)$; clearly, it is an order in E. Let $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)$ be the tangent space to Z at the origin; one may view $\Omega^1(Z)$ as the complex vector space, which is dual to $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)$. In particular, E also acts on $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)$ as multiplications by scalars via σ_3. Further, we identify E with its image in $\mathbf{C}$ via σ_3. Clearly, the natural map

$$\emptyset \otimes \mathbf{R} \to \mathbf{C}$$

is an isomorphism of $\mathbf{R}$-algebras.

The exponential surjective holomorphic map

$$\exp : \mathrm{Lie}(Z) \twoheadrightarrow Z(\mathbf{C})$$

is a homomorphism of $\emptyset$-modules. Recall that he kernel Γ of exp is a discrete lattice of rank $2\mathrm{dim}(Z) = 4$ in $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)$. Clearly, Γ is $\emptyset$-stable and therefore may be viewed as an $\emptyset$-module of rank 2. We have

$$Z(\mathbf{C}) := \mathrm{Lie}(Z)/\Gamma.$$

Clearly, the natural map

$$\Gamma \otimes \mathbf{R} \to \mathrm{Lie}(Z)$$

is an isomorphism of real vector spaces. Since $\emptyset$ is the order in the quadratic field, it follows from a theorem of Z. Borevich - D. Faddeev [1] (see also [22, Satz 2.3]) that the $\emptyset$-module Γ splits into a direct sum

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2$$

of rank one $\emptyset$-modules Γ_1 and Γ_2. Clearly

$$\mathrm{Lie}(Z) = \Gamma_1 \otimes \mathbf{R} \oplus \Gamma_2 \otimes \mathbf{R}$$

and both $\Gamma_j \otimes \mathbf{R}$ are $\emptyset \otimes \mathbf{R} = \mathbf{C}$-submodules in $\mathrm{Lie}(Z)$ $(j = 1, 2)$. It follows that the complex torus $Z(\mathbf{C}) := \mathrm{Lie}(Z)/\Gamma$ is isomorphic to a product $(\Gamma_1 \otimes \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_1 \times (\Gamma_2 \otimes \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_2$ of one-dimensional complex tori $(\Gamma_1 \otimes \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_1$ and $(\Gamma_2 \otimes \mathbf{R})/\Gamma_2$ with complex multiplication by $\emptyset$. One has only to recall that every one-dimensional complex torus is an elliptic curve and two CM-elliptic curves with multiplication by the same imaginary quadratic field are isogenous.

$\square$

5. Superelliptic jacobians

Throughout this Section p is a prime, r a positive integer and $q = p^r$. We write $\mathcal{P}_q(t)$ for the polynomial $1 + t + \cdots + t^{q-1} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ and $\Phi_q(t)$ for the qth cyclotomic polynomial $\Phi_q(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} t^{ip^{r-1}} \in \mathbf{Z}[t]$ of degree $\varphi(q) = q - p^{r-1}$. Notice that

$$t^q \Phi_q(1/t) - \Phi_q(t) = t^q - 1 \qquad (4).$$

Let K be a field of characteristic 0 and $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a separable polynomial of degree $n \geq 3$. We write $\mathfrak{R}_f$ for the set of its roots and denote by $K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \bar{K}$ the corresponding splitting field. As usual, the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(K(\mathfrak{R}_f)/K)$ is called the Galois group of f and denoted by $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ permutes elements of $\mathfrak{R}_f$ and the natural map of $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ into the group $\mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ of all permutations of $\mathfrak{R}_f$ is an embedding. We will identify $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ with its image and consider it as a permutation group of $\mathfrak{R}_f$. Clearly, $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ is transitive if and only if f is irreducible in $K[x]$. Further, we assume that p does not divide n and K contains a primitive qth root of unity ζ.

We write (as in [31, §3]) $V_{f,p}$ for the $(n-1)$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space of functions $\{\phi : \mathfrak{R}_f \to \mathbf{F}_p, \ \sum_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f} \phi(\alpha) = 0\}$ provided with a natural *faithful* action of the permutation group $\mathrm{Gal}(f) \subset \mathrm{Perm}(\mathfrak{R}_f)$. It is the *heart* over the field $\mathbf{F}_p$ of the group $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acting on the set $\mathfrak{R}_f$ [11, 31].

Remark 5.1. It is well-known [9] (see also [31, 38]) that if $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts *doubly transitively* on $\mathfrak{R}_f$ then the centralizer

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(f)}(V_{f,p}) = \mathbf{F}_p.$$

The surjection $\mathrm{Gal}(K) \twoheadrightarrow \mathrm{Gal}(f)$ provides $V_{f,p}$ with the natural structure of $\mathrm{Gal}(K)$-module. Clearly, if $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts *doubly transitively* on $\mathfrak{R}_f$ then the centralizer

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(V_{f,p}) = \mathbf{F}_p.$$

Let $C = C_{f,q}$ be the smooth projective model of the smooth affine K-curve $y^q = f(x)$. So C is a smooth projective curve defined over K. and the rational function $x \in K(C)$ defines a finite cover $\pi : C \to \mathbf{P}^1$ of degree q. Let $\mathcal{B}' \subset C(\bar{K})$ be the set of ramification points. Clearly, the restriction of π to $\mathcal{B}'$ is an *injective* map $\mathcal{B}' \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1(\bar{K})$, whose image is the disjoint union of ∞ and $\mathfrak{R}_f$. We write

$$\mathcal{B} = \pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{R}_f) = \{(\alpha, 0) \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f\} \subset \mathcal{B}' \subset C(\bar{K}).$$

Clearly, π is ramified at each point of $\mathcal{B}$ with ramification index q. The set $\mathcal{B}'$ is the disjoint union of $\mathcal{B}$ and a single point $\infty' := \pi^{-1}(\infty)$. In addition, the ramification index of π at $\pi^{-1}(\infty)$ is also q. By Hurwitz's formula, the genus $g = g(C) = g(C_{f,q})$ of C is $(q-1)(n-1)/2$.

Lemma 5.2. *Let us consider the plane triangle (Newton polygon)*

$$\Delta_{n,q} := \{(j,i) \mid 0 \le j, \quad 0 \le i, \quad qj + ni \le nq\}$$

with the vertices $(0,0)$, $(0,q)$ *and* $(n,0)$. *Let* $L_{n,q}$ *be the set of integer points in the interior of* $\Delta_{n,q}$. *Then:*

(i) *If* $(j,i) \in L_{n,q}$ *then*

$$1 \le j \le n-1; \quad 1 \le i \le q-1; \quad q(j-1) + (q+1) \le n(q-i).$$

(ii) *The genus* $g = (q-1)(n-1)/2$ *coincides with the number of elements of* $L_{n,q}$.

(iii)

$$\omega_{j,i} := x^{j-1}dx/y^{q-i} = x^{j-1}y^i dx/y^q = x^{j-1}y^{i-1}dx/y^{q-1}$$

is a differential of the first kind on C *for each* $(j,i) \in L_{n,q}$.

Proof. (i) is obvious. In order to prove (ii), notice that $(q-1)(n-1)$ is the number of interior integer points in the rectangular

$$\Pi_{n,q} := \{(j,i) \mid 0 \le j \le n, 0 \le i \le q\},$$

whose diagonal that connects $(0,0)$ and (n,q) does not contain interior integer points, because n and q are relatively prime. In order to get the *half* of $q-1)(n-1)$, one should notice that the map $(j,i) \mapsto (n-j, q-i)$ establishes a bijection between $L_{n,q}$ and the set of interior integer points of $\Pi_{n,q}$ outside $L_{n,q}$. Let us prove (iii). Clearly, $\omega_{j,i}$ has no poles outside $\mathcal{B}'$. For each $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f$ the orders of zero of $dx = d(x-\alpha)$ and y at $\pi^{-1}(\alpha,0)$ are $q-1$ and 1 respectively. Since $(q-1)-i \ge 0$, the differential $\omega_{j,i}$ has no pole at $\pi^{-1}(\alpha,0)$. The orders of pole of x, dx, y at ∞ are $q, q+1, n$ respectively. Since $n(q-i) \ge q(j-1) + (q+1)$, the differential $\omega_{j,i}$ has no pole at ∞. This implies easily that the collection $\{\omega_{j,i}\}_{(j,i)\in L_{n,q}}$ is a basis in the space of differentials of the first kind on C. □

There is a non-trivial birational $\bar{K}$-automorphism of C

$$\delta_q : (x,y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y).$$

Clearly, δ_q^q is the identity map and the set of fixed points of δ_q coincides with $\mathcal{B}'$. Let us consider $C_(f,q)$ as curve over $\bar{K}$ and let $\Omega^1(C_{(f,q)})$ be the ($g(C_{f,q})$-dimensional) space of differentials of the first kind on C. By functoriality, δ_q induces on $\Omega^1(C_{(f,q)})$ a certain $\bar{K}$-linear automorphism $\delta_q^* : \Omega^1(C_{(f,q)}) \to \Omega^1(C_{(f,q)})$.

Lemma 5.3. (i) *The collection* $\{\omega_{j,i} = x^{j-1}dx/y^{q-i} \mid (i,j) \in L_{n,q}\}$ *is an eigenbasis of* $\Omega^1(C_{(f,q)})$ *with respect to* δ_q^**; an eigenvector* $\omega_{j,i} = x^{j-1}dx/y^{q-i}$ *corresponds to eigenvalue* ζ^i.

(ii) *If a positive integer i satisfies $i < q$ then ζ^{-i} is an eigenvalue of of δ_q^* if and only if* $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right] > 0$. *If this is the case then the multiplicity of eigenvalue* ζ^{-i} *is* $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right]$.

(iii) 1 *is not an eigenvalue of* δ_q^*.

(iv) $\mathcal{P}_q(\delta_q^*) = {\delta_q^*}^{q-1} + \dots + \delta_q^* + 1 = 0$ *in* $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{K}}(\Omega^1(C_{f,q}))$.

(v) *If $q = p^r$ then ζ^{-i} is an eigenvalue of δ_q^* for each integer i with* $p^r - p^{r-1} \leq i \leq p^r - 1 = q - 1$.

(vi) *If $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is a polynomial with rational coefficients such that $\mathcal{H}(\delta_q^*) = 0$ in* $\mathrm{End}_{\bar{K}}(\Omega^1(C_{f,q}))$ *then $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is divisible by $\mathcal{P}_q(t)$ in* $\mathbf{Q}[t]$.

Proof. The assertion (i) follows easily from Lemma 5.2. This implies (iii), which, in turn, implies (iv). The assertion (i) implies that if $1 \leq i < q$ then ζ^{-i} is an eigenvalue of δ_q^* if and only if the corresponding (to $q - i$) horizontal line contains an interior integer point; if this is the case then the multiplicity coincides with the number of interior points on this line. Clearly, this number of points is $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right]$. In order to prove (v), recall that $n \geq 3$. This implies that

$$ni \geq 3(p^r - p^{r-1}) = 3\frac{p-1}{p}q \geq \frac{3}{2}q > q$$

and therefore $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right] \geq 1 > 0$, which proves (v), in light of (ii). In order to prove (vi), it suffices to notice that, thanks to (v), we know that among the eigenvalues of δ_q^* there is a primitive qth root of unity. □

Remark 5.4. In order to describe C explicitly, we use a construction from [28, pp. 3358-3359] (see also [13, Ch. 3a, Sect.1]). Let us put $\tilde{f}(x) = x^n f(1/x) \in K[x]$ and pick positive integers a, b with $bn - aq = 1$. (Such a, b do exist, since n and q are relatively prime.) Let us consider the birational transformation

$$x = \frac{1}{s^b t^q}, y = \frac{1}{s^a} t^n; s = x^{-n} y^q = x^{-n} f(x), t = x^a y^{-b}.$$

If $f_0 \neq 0$ is the leading coefficient of $f(x)$ then

$$W_1 : y^q = f(x) = f_0 \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} (x - \alpha)$$

becomes

$$W_2 : s = \tilde{f}(s^b t^q) = f_0 \prod_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}} (1 - \alpha s^b t^q)).$$

There are no points with $s = 0$ (and therefore for every $\alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f$ there are no points with $\alpha s^b t^q = 1$). When $s \neq 0, t \neq 0$, we are on the original affine piece W_1 of the curve given in terms of x and y. (When $t = 0$ we get the point $\infty' = (f_0, 0)$.) The automorphism δ_q sends (s, t) to $(s, \zeta^{-b} t)$. If we glue together the affine curves W_1 and W_2, identifying $\{x \neq 0, y \neq 0\}$ and $\{s \neq 0, t \neq 0\}$ via the birational transformation above, then we get a smooth K-curve C'. The finite maps of affine K-curves

$$x : W_1 \to \mathbf{A}^1, \ s^b t^q : W_2 \to \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{\alpha^{-1} \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f, \alpha \neq 0\}$$

are gluing together to a finite map $C' \to \mathbf{P}^1$, since $\mathbf{P}^1$ coincides with the union of the images of the open embeddings

$$\mathbf{A}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1, t \mapsto (t : 1), \ \mathbf{A}^1 \setminus \{\alpha^{-1} \mid \alpha \in \mathfrak{R}_f, \ \alpha \neq 0\} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}^1, t \mapsto (1 : t).$$

It follows that C' is proper over K and therefore is projective (see also [7, Ch. III, Ex. 5.7]). This implies that C' is biregularly isomorphic to C over K.

Let $J(C_{f,q}) = J(C)$ be the jacobian of C. It is a g-dimensional abelian variety defined over K and one may view (via Albanese functoriality) δ_q as an element of $\mathrm{Aut}(C) \subset \mathrm{Aut}(J(C)) \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C))$ such that $\delta_q \neq \mathrm{Id}$ but $\delta_q^q = \mathrm{Id}$ where Id is the identity endomorphism of $J(C)$. We write $\mathbf{Z}[\delta_q]$ for the subring of $\mathrm{End}(J(C))$ generated by δ_q.

Remarks 5.5.
(i) The point $\infty' \in C_{f,p}(K)$ is one of δ_q-invariant points. The map

$$\tau : C_{f,q} \to J(C_{f,q}), \quad P \mapsto \mathrm{cl}((P) - (\infty'))$$

is an embedding of smooth projective algebraic varieties and it is well-known [26, Sect. 2.9] that the induced map $\tau^* : \Omega^1(J(C_{f,q})) \to \Omega^1(C_{f,q})$ is an isomorphism obviously commuting with the actions of δ_q. (Here cl stands for the linear equivalence class.) This implies that n_{σ_i} coincides with the dimension of the eigenspace of $\Omega^1(C_{(f,q)})$ attached to the eigenvalue ζ^{-i} of δ_q^*. Applying Lemma 5.3, we conclude that if $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is a monic polynomial with integer coefficients such that $\mathcal{H}(\delta_q) = 0$ in $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$ then $\mathcal{H}(t)$ is divisible by $\mathcal{P}_q(t)$ in $\mathbf{Q}[t]$ and therefore in $\mathbf{Z}[t]$.

(ii) The automorphism $\delta_q : C_{f,p} \to C_{f,p}$ induces, by Picard functoriality, the automorphism ${\delta_q}' : J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q})$ that sends $\mathrm{cl}((P) - (\infty'))$ to $\mathrm{cl}(\delta_q^*((P) - (\infty'))) = \mathrm{cl}(({\delta_q}^{-1}(P) - (\infty'))$. This implies that

$${\delta_q}' = {\delta_q}^{-1} \in \mathrm{Aut}(J(C_{f,q})) \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q})).$$

Remark 5.6. Clearly, the set S of eigenvalues λ of $\delta_q^* : \Omega^1(J(C_{f,q})) \to \Omega^1(J(C_{f,q}))$ with $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\lambda) \neq 0$ consists of *primitive* qth roots of unity ζ^{-i} $(1 \le i < q, (i, p) = 1)$ with $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right] > 0$ and the multiplicity of ζ^{-i} equals $\left[\frac{ni}{q}\right]$, thanks to Remarks 5.5 and Lemma 5.3. Let us consider the sum

$$M = \sum_{1 \le i < q, (i,p)=1} \left[\frac{ni}{q}\right]$$

of multiplicities of eigenvalues from S. Then

$$M = (n-1)\frac{\varphi(q)}{2} = \frac{(n-1)(p-1)p^{r-1}}{2}.$$

See [37, Remark 4.6 on p. 353] for the proof in which one has only to replace references to [37, Remarks 4.5 and 4.4] by references to Remark 5.5(i) and Lemma 5.3 respectively.

Clearly, if the abelian (sub)variety $Z := \mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\delta_q)(J(C_{f,q}))$ has dimension M then the data $Y = J(C_{f,q}), \delta = \delta_q, P = \mathcal{P}_{q/p}(t)$ satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3.10 of [37].

Lemma 5.7. *Let $D = \sum_{P\in\mathcal{B}} a_P(P)$ be a divisor on $C = C_{f,p}$ with degree 0 and support in $\mathcal{B}$. Then D is principal if and only if all the coefficients a_P are divisible by q.*

Proof. See [37, Lemma 4.7 on p. 354]. □

Lemma 5.8. $1 + \delta_q + \cdots + \delta_q^{q-1} = 0$ *in* $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$. *The subring* $\mathbf{Z}[\delta_q] \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$ *is isomorphic to the ring* $\mathbf{Z}[t]/\mathcal{P}_q(t)\mathbf{Z}[t]$. *The* $\mathbf{Q}$*-subalgebra* $\mathbf{Q}[\delta_q] \subset \mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q})) = \mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,q}))$ *is isomorphic to* $\mathbf{Q}[t]/\mathcal{P}_q(t)\mathbf{Q}[t] = \prod_{i=1}^r \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_{p^i})$.

Proof. If $q = p$ is a prime this assertion is proven in [17, p. 149], [21, p. 458]. (If $n > 3$ then the assertion is proven in [37].) So, further we may assume that $q > p$. The group $J(C_{f,q}))(\bar{K})$ is generated by divisor classes of the form $(P) - (\infty)$ where P is a finite point on $C_{f,p}$. The divisor of the rational function $x - x(P)$ is $(\delta_q^{q-1}P) + \cdots + (\delta_q P) + (P) - q(\infty)$. This implies that $\mathcal{P}_q(\delta_q) = 0 \in \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$. Applying Remark 5.5(ii), we conclude that $\mathcal{P}_q(t)$ is the minimal polynomial of δ_q in $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$. □

Let us define the abelian (sub)variety

$$J^{(f,q)} := \mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\delta_q)(J(C_{f,q})) \subset J(C_{f,q}).$$

Clearly, $J^{(f,q)}$ is a δ_q-invariant abelian subvariety defined over K. In addition, $\Phi_q(\delta_q)(J^{(f,q)}) = 0$.

Remark 5.9. If $q = p$ then $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}(t) = \mathcal{P}_1(t) = 1$ and therefore $J^{(f,p)} = J(C_{f,p})$.

Remark 5.10. Since the polynomials Φ_q and $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}$ are relatively prime, the homomorphism $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\delta_q) : J^{(f,q)} \to J^{(f,q)}$ has finite kernel and therefore is an isogeny. In particular, it is surjective.

Lemma 5.11. $\dim(J^{(f,q)}) = \frac{(p^r - p^{r-1})(n-1)}{2}$ *and there is an* K*-isogeny* $J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p}) \times J^{(f,q)}$. *In addition, the Galois modules* $V_{f,p}$ *and*

$$(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q} := \{z \in J^{(f,q)}(\bar{K}) \mid \delta_q(z) = z\}$$

are isomorphic.

Proof. Let us consider the curve $C_{f,q/p} : y_1^{q/p} = f(x_1)$ and a regular surjective map $\pi_1 : C_{f,q} \to C_{f,q/p}, \quad x_1 = x, y_1 = y^p$. Clearly, $\pi_1\delta_q = \delta_{q/p}\pi_1$. By Albanese functoriality, π_1 induces a certain surjective homomorphism of jacobians $J(C_{f,q}) \twoheadrightarrow J(C_{f,q/p})$ which we continue to denote by π_1. Clearly, the equality $\pi_1\delta_q = \delta_{q/p}\pi_1$ remains true in $\mathrm{Hom}(J(C_{f,q}), J(C_{f,q/p}))$. By Lemma 5.8,

$$\mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\delta_{q/p}) = 0 \in \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q/p})).$$

It follows from Lemma 5.10 that $\pi_1(J^{(f,q)}) = 0$ and therefore $\dim(J^{(f,q)})$ does not exceed

$$\dim(J(C_{f,q})) - \dim(J(C_{f,q/p})) =$$

$$\frac{(p^r-1)(n-1)}{2}-\frac{(p^{r-1}-1)(n-1)}{2}=\frac{(p^r-p^{r-1})(n-1)}{2}.$$

By definition of $J^{(f,q)}$, for each divisor $D=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{B}}a_P(P)$ the linear equivalence class of \$$p^{r-1}D=\sum_{P\in\mathcal{B}}p^{r-1}a_P(P)$ lies in $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q}\subset J^{(f,q)}(\bar{K})\subset J(C_{f,q})(\bar{K})$. It follows from Lemma 5.7 that the class of $p^{r-1}D$ is zero if and only if all $p^{r-1}a_P$ are divisible by $q=p^r$, i.e. all a_P are divisible by p. This implies that the set of linear equivalence classes of $p^{r-1}D$ is a Galois submodule isomorphic to $V_{f,p}$. We want to prove that $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q}=V_{f,p}$.

Recall that $J^{(f,q)}$ is δ_q-invariant and the restriction of δ_q to $J^{(f,q)}$ satisfies the qth cyclotomic polynomial. This allows us to define the homomorphism $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]\to\mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)})$ that sends 1 to the identity map and ζ_q to δ_q. Let us put

$$E=\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q), Ø=\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]\subset\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)=E.$$

It is well-known that $Ø$ is the ring of integers in E, the ideal $\lambda=(1-\zeta_q)\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]=(1-\zeta_q)Ø$ is maximal in $Ø$ with $Ø/\lambda=\mathbf{F}_p$ and $Ø\otimes\mathbf{Z}_p=\mathbf{Z}_p[\zeta_q]$ is the ring of integers in the field $\mathbf{Q}_p(\zeta_q)$. Notice also that $Ø\otimes\mathbf{Z}_p$ coincides with the completion $Ø_\lambda$ of $Ø$ with respect to the λ-adic topology and $Ø_\lambda/\lambda Ø_\lambda=Ø/\lambda=\mathbf{F}_p$.

It follows from Lemma 3.3 of [37] that

$$d=\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})}{[E:\mathbf{Q}]}=\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})}{p^r-p^{r-1}}$$

is a positive integer, the $\mathbf{Z}_p$-Tate module $T_p(J^{(f,q)})$ is a free $Ø_\lambda$-module of rank d. (See also [18, Prop. 2.2.1 on p, 769].) Using the displayed formula (5) on p. 347 in [37, §3], we conclude that

$$(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q}=\{u\in J^{(f,q)}(\bar{K})\mid(1-\delta_q)(u)=0\}=J_\lambda^{f,q}=T_p(J^{f,q})\otimes_{Ø_\lambda}\mathbf{F}_p$$

is a d-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space. Since $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q}$ contains $(n-1)$-dimensional $\mathbf{F}_p$-vector space $V_{f,p}$, we have $d\ge n-1$. This implies that

$$2\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})=d(p^r-p^{r-1})\ge(n-1)(p^r-p^{r-1})$$

and therefore

$$\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})\ge\frac{(n-1)(p^r-p^{r-1})}{2}.$$

But we have already seen that

$$\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})\le\frac{(n-1)(p^r-p^{r-1})}{2}.$$

This implies that

$$\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f,q)})=\frac{(n-1)(p^r-p^{r-1})}{2}.$$

It follows that $d=n-1$ and therefore $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q}=V_{f,p}$. Dimension arguments imply that $J^{(f,q)}$ coincides with the identity component of $\ker(\pi_1)$ and therefore there is a K-isogeny between $J(C_{f,q})$ and $J(C_{f,q/p})\times J^{(f,q)}$. ☐

Corollary 5.12. *There is a K-isogeny*

$$J(C_{f,q})\to J(C_{f,p})\times\prod_{i=2}^{r}J^{(f,p^i)}=\prod_{i=1}^{r}J^{(f,p^i)}.$$

Proof. Combine Corollary 5.11(ii) and Remark 5.9 with easy induction on r. ☐

Theorem 5.13. *Suppose that $n \geq 3$ is an integer. Let p be a prime, $r \geq 1$ an integer and $q = p^r$. Let $f(x) \in K[x]$ be a polynomial of degree n without multiple roots. Then the image $\emptyset$ of $\mathbf{Z}[\delta_q] \to \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)})$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]$ and the Galois module $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q} = J_{\lambda}^{(f,q)}$ is isomorphic to $V_{f,p}$. If $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_f$ then the centralizer*

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(K)}(J_{\lambda}^{(f,q)}) = \mathbf{F}_p.$$

Proof. Clearly, $\emptyset$ is isomorphic to $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]$. Let us put $\lambda = (1-\zeta_q)\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]$. By Lemma 5.11(iii), the Galois module $(J^{(f,q)})^{\delta_q} = J_{\lambda}^{(f,q)}$ is isomorphic to $V_{f,p}$. The last assertion follows from Remark 5.1. $\square$

Remark 5.14. It follows from Theorem 5.13 that there is an embedding

$$\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q] \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}_K(J^{(f,q)}) \subset \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)}),\ \zeta_q \mapsto \delta_q : J^{(f,q)} \to J^{(f,q)},$$

which sends 1 to the identity automorphism of $J^{(f,q)}$. This embedding extends by $\mathbf{Q}$-linearity to the embedding

$$\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)}).$$

On the other hand, let us consider the induced linear operator

$$\delta_q^* : \Omega^1(J^{(f,q)}) \to \Omega^1(J^{(f,q)}).$$

It follows from Theorem 3.10 of [37] combined with Remark 5.6 that its spectrum consists of primitive qth roots of unity ζ^{-i} ($1 \leq i < q$, the prime p does not divide i) with $[ni/q] > 0$ and the multiplicity of ζ^{-i} equals $[ni/q]$. It follows that if $\sigma_i : E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is the field embedding that sends ζ_q to ζ^{-i} and

$$\Omega^1(J^{(f,q)})_{\sigma_i} = \{x \in \Omega^1(J^{(f,q)}) \mid ex = \sigma_i(e)x \quad \forall e \in E\}$$

then

$$\dim_{\bar{K}}(\Omega^1(J^{(f,q)})_{\sigma_i}) = \left[\frac{ni}{q}\right].$$

Lemma 5.15. *The abelian subvariety $J^{(}(f,q)) \subset J(C_{f,q})$ coincides with the identity component of the kernel of*

$$\Phi_q(\delta_q) : J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q}).$$

Proof. We know that $J^{(f,q)} \subset \ker(\Phi_q(\delta_q)) := W$. Let $\pi_1 : J(C_{f,q}) \to J(C_{f,q/p})$ be as in the proof of Lemma 5.11. Clearly, $J^{(f,q)}$ is the identity component of $\ker(\pi_1)$ and the image $\pi_1(W) \subset J(C_{f,q/p})$ is killed by both $\Phi_q(\delta_q)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}(\delta_q)$. Since the polynomials Φ_q and $\mathcal{P}_{q/p}$ are relatively prime, $\pi_1(W)$ is killed by a non-zero integer (that is their resultant) and therefore is finite. It follows that $W/J^{(f,q)}$ is also finite and therefore $J^{(f,q)}$ is the identity component of $W = \ker(\Phi_q(\delta_q))$. $\square$

Remark 5.16. Recall (Remark 5.5) that $\delta_q' = \delta_q^{-1}$ and δ_q^q is the identity automorphism of $J(C_{f,q})$. It follows from the displayed formula (4) at the beginning of this Section that $\Phi_q(\delta_q) = \Phi_q(\delta_q')$ in $\mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,q}))$. Applying Lemma 5.15, we conclude that $J^{(f,q)}$ is the identity component of $\ker(\Phi_q(\delta_q'))$.

Theorem 5.17. *Suppose that $n = 3, q = 4$. Then:*

- (i) *$J^{(f,4)}$ is isomorphic to a product of two mutually isogenous elliptic curves with complex multiplication by $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1})$.*

(ii) $J^{(f,4)}$ *is isogenous to the square of the elliptic curve* $y^2 = x^3 - x$.

(iii) $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,4)}) \cong \mathrm{Mat}_2(\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{-1}))$.

Proof. The assertion follows readily from Lemma 4.3 combined with Remark 5.14. □

Theorem 5.18. *Suppose that k is an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, $K = k(t)$ and $(n, q) \neq (3, 4)$. Suppose that* $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ *acts doubly transitively on* $\mathfrak{R}_f$.

Then:

(i) $J^{(f,q)}$ *is completely non-isotrivial.*

(ii) *If $n = 3$ or 4 then* $\mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q]$. *In particular, $J^{(f,q)}$ is absolutely simple and* $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)}) = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$.

Proof. Let us fix a primitive qth root of unity $\zeta \in k$ and consider the subfield $k_f \subset k$ that is obtained by adjoining to $\mathbf{Q}$ all coefficients of $f(x)$ and ζ. We have

$$f(x) \subset k_f(t)[x] \subset k(t)[x] = K[x], \ k_f(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset K(\mathfrak{R}_f) \subset \bar{K}.$$

Let k_0 be the algebraic closure of k_f in $k_f(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$. Since a subfield of a finitely generated overfield is also finitely generated [20, Th. 4.3.6], k_0 is finitely generated over k_f and therefore is a finite algebraic extension of k_f (see [2, Chap. V, Sect. 14, no. 7, Cor. 1]). Clearly, $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f) = k_f(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$; in particular, k_0 is algebraically closed in $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$. Since k_0 lies in $\bar{K} = \overline{k(t)}$ and is algebraic over $k_f \subset k$, we have $k_0 \subset k$. We have

$$\mathbf{Q} \subset k_f \subset k_0 \subset k, \ f(x) \in k_f(t)[x] \subset k_0(t)[x] \subset k(t)[x];$$

in addition, $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ is the *splitting field* of $f(x)$ over $k_0(t)$. Clearly, k_0 is finitely generated over $\mathbf{Q}$ and contains ζ.

Let $\bar{k}_0$ be the algebraic closure of k_0 in k. Since k is algebraically closed, $\bar{k}_0$ is also algebraically closed and therefore $\bar{k}_0(t)$ is algebraically closed in $k(t)$. Since the field extension $\bar{k}_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)/\bar{k}_0(t)$ is algebraic, $\bar{k}_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and $k(t)$ are linearly disjoint over $\bar{k}_0(t)$. It follows that $f(x)$ has the same Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ over $k(t)$ and $\bar{k}_0(t)$.

Since k_0 is algebraically closed in $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$, the fields $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$ and $\bar{k}_0$ are linearly disjoint over k_0. This implies that $f(x)$ has the same Galois group over $k_0(t)$ and $\bar{k}_0(t)$. It follows that the Galois group of $f(x)$ over $k_0(t)$ coincides with $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$; in particular, it acts doubly transitively on $\mathfrak{R}_f$.

Since k_0 is finitely generated over $\mathbf{Q}$, it is a *hilbertian* field [23, pp. 129–130]. Let us put $K_0 = k_0(t)$. An elementary substitution allows us to assume that $f(x) =: F(t, x) \in k_0[t][x] = k_0[t, x]$. (Indeed, if $f(x) = G(x, t)/h(t)$ with $G(x, t) \in k_0[t, x]$, $h(t) \in k_0[t]$ then $C_{f,q}$ is K_0-birationally isomorphic to the superelliptic curve $w^q = h(t)^{q-1}G(x, t)$ where $w = h(t) \cdot y$.)

Using Remark 5.4, one may easily check that there exists a finite set B of closed points of the affine k_0-line $\mathbf{A}^1_{k_0}$ and a smooth proper $\mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$-curve $c : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$ that enjoy the following properties:

- For all $a \in k \setminus B$ the polynomial $f_a(x) := F(a, x)$ has no multiple roots;
- The "affine part" of $c : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$ is defined by equation $y^q = F(x, t)$;
- The generic fiber of c coincides with $C_{f,q}$ and for all $a \in k \setminus B$ the corresponding closed fiber is $C_{f_a,q}$. In addition, the infinite points ∞' give rise

to a section

$$\infty' : \mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B \to \mathcal{C}.$$

- The map $(x, y) \mapsto (x, \zeta y)$ gives rise to a periodic automorphism $\boldsymbol{\delta}_q : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ of the $\mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$-scheme that coincides with δ_q on the generic fiber and all closed fibers of c;
- $\boldsymbol{\delta}_q$ acts as the identity map on the image of $\boldsymbol{\infty}'$.

Taking the (relative) jacobian of $c : \mathcal{C} \to \mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$ [3, Ch. 9], we get an abelian scheme $\mathcal{J} \to \mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$, whose generic fiber coincides with $J(C_{f,q})$ and for each $a \in k_0 \setminus B$ the corresponding closed fiber is $J(C_{f_a,q})$.

Since k_0 is hilbertian and algebraically closed in $k_0(t)(\mathfrak{R}_f)$, there exist two distinct $a, d \in k_0 \setminus B$ such that both $\mathrm{Gal}(f_a)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f_d)$ coincide with $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ and the splitting fields of f_a and f_d are linearly disjoint over k_0 [23, Sect. 10.1]. In particular, both $\mathrm{Gal}(f_a)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f_d)$ are doubly transitive. It follows from Remark 5.1 that the $\mathrm{Gal}(k_0)$-modules V_{f_a} and V_{f_d} satisfy

$$\mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(k_0)}(V_{f_a}) = \mathbf{F}_p,\ \mathrm{End}_{\mathrm{Gal}(k_0)}(V_{f_d}) = \mathbf{F}_p \qquad (5).$$

First, assume that $q = p$. It follows from Corollary 3.6 in [38] applied to $X = J(C_{f_a,p}), Y = J(C_{f_d,p}), E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p), \lambda = (1 - \zeta_p)$ that either there are no non-zero $\bar{k}_0$-homomorphisms between $J(C_{f_a,p})$ and $J(C_{f_d,p})$ or the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f_a,p}))$ has $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$-dimension

$$\left(\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J(C_{f_a,p}))}{[\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p) : \mathbf{Q}]}\right)^2 = (n-1)^2.$$

Combining Theorem 4.2(b) with Lemma 5.3, we conclude that all $\bar{k}_0$-homomorphisms between $J(C_{f_a,p})$ and $J(C_{f_d,p})$ are zero. Since $\bar{k}_0$ is algebraically closed, all k-homomorphisms between $J(C_{f_a,p})$ and $J(C_{f_d,p})$ are also zero.

It follows from Lemma 3.4 applied to $X = J(C_{f,p}) = J^{(f,p)}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{J} \times_{k_0} k$ that $J(C_{f,p})$ is completely non-isotrivial. This proves (i) when $q = p$.

Suppose that $n = 3$ or 4. Since every abelian variety of CM-type is defined over $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}$ [14], Theorem 3.1 combined with the complete non-isotriviality of $J^{(f,p)}$ imply that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ coincides with its own centralizer in $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ contains the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. It follows from Theorem 4.2(a) that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ is the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. Then the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$ coincides with the whole $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$ and therefore $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p) = \mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,p}))$. Since $\mathbf{Z}(\zeta_p] \subset \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$ is the maximal order in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_p)$, we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_p] = \mathrm{End}(J(C_{f,p}))$. This proves (ii) when $q = p$.

Now, in order to do the case of arbitrary q, we need to construct an abelian scheme over $\mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$, whose generic fiber coincides with $J^{(f,q)}$ and for each $a \in k_0 \setminus B$ the corresponding closed fiber is $J^{(f_a,q)}$. First, since $J^{(f,q)}$ is an abelian subvariety of $J(C_{f,q})$, it also has good reduction everywhere at $\mathbf{A}^1 \setminus B$ [3, Lemma 2 on p. 182]. Let $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}$ be the schematic closure of $J^{(f,q)}$ in $\mathcal{J}$ [3, p. 55]. It follows from [3, Sect. 7.1, p. 175, Cor. 6] that $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}$ is a Néron model of $J^{(f,q)}$ over $\mathbf{A}^1_{k_0} \setminus B$.

The automorphism $\boldsymbol{\delta}_q : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{C}$ induces, by Picard functoriality the automorphism of the abelian scheme $\mathcal{J}$ and we denote it by $\boldsymbol{\delta}'_q : \mathcal{J} \to \mathcal{J}$. Let $\mathrm{H} \subset \mathcal{J}$ be the kernel of $\Phi_q(\boldsymbol{\delta}'_q)$; it is a closed group subscheme of $\mathcal{J}$ that is not necessarily an abelian subscheme. We write H_K for the generic fiber of H; it is a closed (not necessarily connected) algebraic K-subgroup of $J(C_{f,q})$.

By Remark 5.16, $J^{(f,q)} \subset \ker(\Phi_q(\delta'_q))$. This implies that $J^{(f,q)} \subset \mathrm{H}_K$ and therefore $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)} \subset \mathrm{H}$. For all $a \in k_0 \setminus B$, the closed fiber H_a of H coincides with $\ker(\Phi_q(\delta'_q)) \subset J(C_{f_a,q})$; this implies that $\mathrm{H}_a \subset J(C_{f_a,q})$ is a closed algebraic subgroup, whose identity component coincides with $J^{(f_a,q)}$. On the other hand, the closed fiber $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}{}_a$ of $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}$ at a is an abelian subvariety in H_a and has the same dimension as $J^{(f,q)}$, i.e., the same dimension as $J^{(f_a,q)}$. It follows that $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}{}_a = J^{(f_a,q)}$, i.e., $J^{(f_a,q)}$ coincides with the reduction of $\mathcal{J}^{(f,q)}$ at a.

Now one may carry out the same arguments as in the case of $q = p$. Namely, pick two distinct $a, d \in k_0 \setminus B$ such that both $\mathrm{Gal}(f_a)$ and $\mathrm{Gal}(f_d)$ coincide with $\mathrm{Gal}(f)$ and the splitting fields of f_a and f_d are linearly disjoint over k_0. Using the displayed formula (5) and applying Corollary 3.6 of [38] to $X = J^{(f_a,q)}, Y = J^{(f_d,q)}, E = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q), \lambda = (1-\zeta_q)$, we conclude that either there are no non-zero $\bar{k}_0$-homomorphisms between $J^{(f_a,q)}$ and $J^{(f_d,q)}$ or the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$ in $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f_a,q)})$ has $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$-dimension

$$\left(\frac{2\mathrm{dim}(J^{(f_a,q)})}{[\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q):\mathbf{Q}]}\right)^2 = (n-1)^2.$$

Combining Theorem 4.2(b) with Remark 5.14, we conclude that there are no non-zero $\bar{k}_0$-homomorphisms between $J^{(f_a,q)}$ and $J^{(f_d,q)}$. Again this implies that there are no non-zero k-homomorphisms between $J^{(f_a,q)}$ and $J^{(f_d,q)}$. It follows from Lemma 3.4 applied to $X = J^{(f,q)}$ and $\mathcal{X} = \mathcal{J}^{(f,q)} \times_{k_0} k$ that $J^{(f,q)}$ is completely non-isotrivial. This proves (i) for arbitrary q.

Suppose that $n = 3$ or 4. As above, Theorem 3.1 combined with the complete non-isotriviality of $J^{(f,q)}$ imply that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$ coincides with its own centralizer in $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$. Then $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$ contains the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$. It follows from Theorem 4.2(a) that $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$ is the center of $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$. Then the centralizer of $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$ coincides with the whole $\mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$ and therefore $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q) = \mathrm{End}^0(J^{(f,q)})$. Since $\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_q] \subset \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)})$ is the maximal order in $\mathbf{Q}(\zeta_q)$, we conclude that $\mathbf{Z}(\zeta_q] = \mathrm{End}(J^{(f,q)})$. This proves (ii) for arbitrary q. □

Proof of main results. Theorems 1.2 and 1.5 follow easily from Theorem 5.18 combined with Corollary 5.12. Theorem 1.3 follows easily from Theorem 5.18 combined with Corollary 5.12 and Remark 3.3. □

Proof of Theorem 1.4. It follows from Theorem 5.18 applied to $(n,q) = (3,2)$ that the elliptic curve $C_{f,2} = J(C_{f,2})$ has transcendental j-invariant and therefore $\mathrm{End}^0(J(C_{f,2})) = \mathbf{Q}$. (Alternatively, one may use Lemma 2.4 instead of Theorem 5.18.) Combining this with Lemma 5.11 and Theorem 5.17, we obtain the first assertion of Theorem 1.4. The second assertion follows from the first one combined with Theorem 5.18, Lemma 5.11 and Remark 3.3.

References

[1] Z. I. Borevich, D. K. Faddeev, *Integral representations of quadratic rings*, Vestnik Leningrad. Univ. **15** (1960) no. 19, 52–64 (Russian). (MR0153707(27#3668)).

[2] N. Bourbaki, Algebra II. Chapters 4–7, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.

[3] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, Néron models, Springer-Verlag, 1990.

[4] Ch. W. Curtis, I. Reiner, Methods of Representation Theory, Vol. I. John Wiley & Sons, New York Chichester Brisbane Toronto, 1981.

[5] J. D. Dixon, B. Mortimer, Permutation Groups. Springer-Verlag, New York Berlin Heidelberg, 1996.

[6] A. Elkin, Yu. Zarhin, *Endomorphism algebras of hyperelliptic jacobians and finite projective lines*. J. Ramanujan Math. Soc. **21** (2006), to appear; arXiv:math.AG/0508120 .

[7] R. Hartshorne, Algebraic Geometry, GTM **52**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin Heidelberg New York, 1977.

[8] J. de Jong and R. Noot, *Jacobians with complex multiplications*. In: Arithmetic algebraic geometry (eds. G. van der Geer, F. Oort and J. Steenbrink). Progress in Math., vol. **89** (Birkhäuser, 1991), pp. 177–192.

[9] M. Klemm, *Über die Reduktion von Permutationsmoduln*. Math. Z. **143** (1975), 113–117.

[10] J. K. Koo, *On holomorphic differentials of some algebraic function field of one variable over* C. Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **43** (1991), 399–405.

[11] B. Mortimer, *The modular permutation representations of the known doubly transitive groups*. Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) **41** (1980), 1–20.

[12] D. Mumford, Abelian varieties, Second edition. Oxford University Press, London, 1974.

[13] D. Mumford, Tata lectures on theta.II. Birkhaüser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1984.

[14] F. Oort, *The isogeny class of a CM-abelian variety is defined over a finite extension of the prime field*. J. Pure Applied Algebra **3**, 399–408 (1973).

[15] F. Oort, *Endomorphism algebras of abelian varieties*, in: *Algebraic Geometry and Commutative Algebra in Honor of M. Nagata* (H. Hijikata et al. Eds), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988, Vol. II, pp. 469–502 (MR 90j:11049).

[16] F. Oort, Yu. G. Zarhin, *Endomorphism algebras of complex tori*, Math. Ann. **303** (1995), 11–29 (MR 97h:14063).

[17] B. Poonen, E. Schaefer, *Explicit descent for Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line.* J. reine angew. Math. **488** (1997), 141–188.

[18] K. Ribet, *Galois action on division points of Abelian varieties with real multiplications*. Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), 751–804.

[19] K. Ribet, *Endomorphisms of semi-stable abelian varieties over number fields*. Ann. Math. (2) **101** (1975), 555–562.

[20] S. Roman, Field theory, Second edition, GTM **158**, Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[21] E. Schaefer, *Computing a Selmer group of a Jacobian using functions on the curve*. Math. Ann. **310** (1998), 447–471.

[22] C. Schoen, *Produkte Abelscher Varietäten und Moduln über Ordnungen*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992), 115–123.

[23] J.-P. Serre, Lectures on the Mordell-Weil Theorem, 2nd edition, Friedr. Vieweg & Sons, Braunschweig/Wiesbaden, 1990.

[24] J.-P Serre, Représentations linéares des groupes finis, Troisiéme édition. Hermann, Paris, 1978.

[25] J-P. Serre, J. Tate, *Good reduction of abelian varieties*. Ann. of Math. (2) **88** (1968), 492–517.

[26] G. Shimura, Abelian varieties with complex multiplication and modular functions. Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1997.

[27] A. Silverberg, *Fields of definition for homomorphisms of abelian varieties.* J. Pure Applied Algebra **77** (1992), 253–262.

[28] C. Towse, *Weierstrass points on cyclic covers of the projective line*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **348** (1996), 3355-3377.

[29] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Hyperelliptic jacobians without complex multiplication*. Math. Res. Letters **7** (2000), 123–132.

[30] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Very simple* 2*-adic representations and hyperelliptic jacobians*. Moscow Math. J. **2** (2002), issue 2, 403-431.

[31] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Cyclic covers, their Jacobians and endomorphisms*. J. reine angew. Math. **544** (2002), 91–110 .

[32] Yu. G. Zarhin, *The endomorphism rings of Jacobians of cyclic covers of the projective line*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **136**, 257–267 (2004).

[33] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Endomorphism rings of certain Jacobians in finite characteristic*. Matem. Sbornik **193**, issue 8, 39–48 (2002); Sbornik Math. **193** (8), 1139-1149 (2002).

[34] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of hyperelliptic Jacobians*. In: Number Theory, Algebra and Algebraic Geometry (Shafarevich Festschrift). Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklov **241**, 90–104 (2003); Proc. Steklov Inst. Math. **241**, 79–92 (2003).

[35] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Non-supersingular hyperelliptic jacobians*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **132** (2004), 617–634.

[36] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Homomorphisms of abelian varieties.* In: Y. Aubry, G. Lachaud (ed.) Arithmetic, Geometry and Coding Theory (AGCT 2003), Séminaires et Congrés **11**, 189–215 (2005).

[37] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Endomorphism algebras of superelliptic Jacobians.* In: F. Bogomolov, Yu. Tschinkel (ed.) Geometric methods in Algebra and Number Theory, Progress in Math. **235**, 339–362, Birkhäuser, Boston Basel Berlin, 2005.

[38] Yu. G. Zarhin, *Non-isogenous superelliptic jacobians.* Math. Z. **253** (2006), 537–554.

Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA
E-mail address: `zarhin@math.psu.edu`